

**To:** Johnson, Kathleen[Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov]  
**From:** Hagler, Tom  
**Sent:** Wed 9/24/2014 4:13:01 PM  
**Subject:** Call with Maria and Laura on Thursday

;

I don't know if you want me on this call Thursday. I'm out of the office, but have some periods when I could potentially plug in by phone.

Let me know.

Also, while I have your attention:

(1) When you discuss the need for more "reality" to the programmatic measures, you frequently use the term "decision tree." I would avoid that, because there has been a long painful effort to develop something called the BDCP "Decision Tree," and it is still vague and ill defined. So that is now a loaded and unhappy term. I would instead use the terms "measurable goals and objectives," "predetermined responses," or things like that.

(2) I will send you a copy of an email from NRDC to Will and Letty, wherein NRDC notes that the BDCP presentation to the MET Board two days ago assumes the "Low Outflow Alternative" (with much higher exports). This contrasts with Letty saying, last Friday, that NMFS and FWS are only looking at permitting the "High Outflow Alternative." So the failure to communicate goes on.

\*\*\*\*\*

Tom Hagler

Assistant Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2

San Francisco, California 94105-3901

Phone (415)972-3945

Email: hagler.tom@epa.gov